1	G. SCOTT EMBLIDGE, State Bar No. 121613			
2	emblidge@meqlaw.com RACHEL J. SATER, State Bar No. 147976			
3	sater@meqlaw.com ANDREW E. SWEET, State Bar No. 160870			
4	sweet@meqlaw.com MOSCONE, EMBLIDGE, & QUADRA, LLP			
5	220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100 San Francisco, California 94104-4238			
6	Telephone: (415) 362-3599 Facsimile: (415) 362-2006			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12				
13	COYNESS L. ENNIX JR., M.D.,	Case No. C	07-2486 WHA	
14	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIF	F'S MOTION IN LIMINE	
15	VS.	NO. 4 TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF FOREST JUNOD, M.D.; DECLARATION OF RACHEL SATER		
16	ALTA BATES SUMMIT MEDICAL			
17	CENTER,			
18	Defendants.		June 2, 2008	
19		Dept: Judge:	Ctrm. 9, 19 th Floor Hon. William H. Alsup	
20				
21	Plaintiff Coyness L. Ennix, Jr. ("Dr. Enn	ix") moves to ϵ	exclude testimony of Forest Junod	
22	M.D. ABSMC designated Dr. Junod to testify re	M.D. ABSMC designated Dr. Junod to testify regarding alleged patient care issues related to		
23	surgeries Dr. Ennix performed at the Alta Bates	surgeries Dr. Ennix performed at the Alta Bates campus in 2002. Dr. Junod's produced a report		
24	("Junod Report") that was submitted to ABSMC	in the course of	of Dr. Ennix's peer review;	
25	however, Dr. Junod himself did not testify before the AHC or MEC. Therefore, ABSMC did not			
26	consider any testimony from Dr. Junod during the challenged peer review, with the exception of			

28

those opinions expressed in the Junod report. Accordingly, the only matter to which Dr. Junod

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

could testify with any relevance to this suit is that the Junod Report accurately reflects his opinions. Any other matters to which Dr. Junod might testify were not before ABSMC during the challenged peer review, and therefore have no probative value regarding ABSMC's motivation or the veracity of its proffered justification of protecting "patient safety." If such testimony has any probative value, it is outweighed by the potential prejudice, confusion, and waste of time.

Therefore, the Court should exclude Dr. Junod's testimony as irrelevant.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Dr. Ennix claims that ABSMC discriminated against him based on his race in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1981 in a peer review process conducted at the Summit campus. In its initial disclosures, ABSMC stated that it would call Dr. Junod as a witness, asserting that Dr. Junod "has knowledge as an outside reviewer of patient care issues arising in several surgeries Plaintiff performed at the Alta Bates campus in 2002, as set forth more fully in his report dated November 14, 2003 which was provided by the Alta Bates Medical Staff to the Summit Medical Staff on December 18, 2003. (See Sater Decl., ¶ 2, Exhibit A, at 6:12-16.) The Junod Report—which was provided to the Summit campus before it had been vetted by Alta Bates campus—addressed systemic issues at the Alta Bates campus stemming from the drop in volume of cardiac surgeries conducted at that campus, which ultimately led, at Dr. Ennix's urging, to the closing of the cardiac surgery program there. (Sater Decl., ¶ 3, Exhibit B.) Dr. Ennix was the sole cardiac surgeon operating at the Alta Bates campus during the time period examined by Dr. Junod. At one point, one member of ABSMC cited the Junod Report as one justification for pursuing adverse actions against Dr. Ennix, but ABSMC never investigated the Junod Report or Dr. Ennix's performance at the Alta Bates campus. To Plaintiff's knowledge, Dr. Junod did not take part in the peer review process other than by way of his report. (Sater Decl., ¶ 4, Exhibit C.)

ARGUMENT

The sole issue in this case is whether race was a factor in ABSMC's peer review actions against Dr. Ennix that nearly ruined his career as a cardiac surgeon. This Court should exclude

1415

1617

1819

21

22

Dated: April 29, 2008

20

2324

25

26

2728

testimony by Dr. Junod, other than a statement that the Junod Report accurately reflects his opinions, because any other testimony he might offer was not considered by ABSMC in the course of the challenged peer review and therefore is not probative. Evidence is admissible if it is probative as to a "fact of consequence" and its probative value is not "outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or misleading the jury, or by considerations of undue delay, waste of time, or needless presentation of cumulative evidence." FRE Rules 401, 403. Opinions or evidence not considered by ABSMC have no probative value regarding whether ABSMC's actions regarding the current peer review were justified by patient care concerns or were motivated by racial animus. On that basis, Dr. Junod's testimony should be excluded.

Finally, even if Dr. Junod's testimony had any probative value in this case, such minimal value would be outweighed by its potential for unfair prejudice and confusion of the jury, which might mistakenly believe ABSMC had considered such testimony in the course of its peer review, when in fact it did not. Further, presentation of this extraneous evidence would unnecessarily waste time and delay conclusion of this case. For these additional reasons, this Court should exclude such evidence.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Dr. Ennix respectfully requests that the Court exclude the testimony of Dr. Junod other than a statement that the Junod Report accurately reflects his opinions.

MOSCONE, EMBLIDGE & QUADRA, LLP

By: /s/

Rachel J. Sater

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Respectfully submitted,

3

DECLARATION OF RACHEL J. SATER

- I, Rachel J. Sater, declare:
- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and in this Court. I am an attorney at Moscone, Emblidge & Quadra, LLP, counsel of record for the Plaintiff.
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of relevant portions of ABSMC's initial disclosures in this case.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit B are true and correct copies of a December 18, 2003 letter form John G. Rosenberg to Annette Schaieb; November 25, 2004, letter from John Edelen to Lamont Paxton, both of which were identified in Plaintiff's initial disclosures.
- 4. I am informed and believe that Dr. Junod did not participate in Dr. Ennix's peer review except by way of the Junod Report. Attached as Exhibit C are excerpts from the deposition of ABSMC's Chief of Staff Dr. Isenberg, stating that ABSMC did virtually nothing to follow-up on the report.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Dated: April 29, 2008 /s/
Rachel J. Sater

EXHIBIT C

2	15	Q. Let me show you what we marked this morning at
3	16	Dr. Steven Stanten's deposition as Exhibit 1059.
4	17	Can you tell me if that's the transmittal
5	18	letter that came with the Junod report when you first
6	19	became aware of the Junod report?
7	20	A. This looks like it, yes.
	21	Q. Okay. And are you do you recall, when you
8	22	received it, that it stated that at Alta Bates, they
9	23	made no representation about the Junod report's
0	24	accuracy, credibility or reliability?
1	25	A. Yes.
2	1	127
3	1	Q. Now, that's a letter addressed to Annette
4	2	Shaieb. Is that how do you pronounce her name?
5	3	A. Shaieb.
6	4	Q. Did you receive it, the letter and the report,
7	5	from Dr. Shaieb, or did you receive it directly from
8	6	Alta Bates or some other way?
	7	A. Dr. Shaieb brought it to the officers
9	8	committee meeting, which was held the last Tuesday of
20	9	every month, and shared it with the officers. And said,
21	10	you know, just so you are aware of this. That was it.
22	11	Q. Okay. After receiving the Junod report, what
23	12	did you do, if anything, to investigate Dr. Ennix's
24	13	patient care activities at the Alta Bates campus?
25	14	A. At any time?
26	15	Q. Yes.
27	16	A. After the minimally invasive cases arose, I
28	17	then pulled out the Junod report and reviewed it. I
- 1	1	~

1	18	then contacted both Drs. Rosenberg and Kunitz
2	19	Q. Could you spell it for the record?
3	20	A. K-u-n-i-t-z, and R-o-s-e-n-b-e-r-g.
4	21	to see if they were willing to share more
5	22	information. Dr. Kunitz provided a lot of verbal
6	23	things, but nothing in writing. And Dr. Rosenberg
7	24	similarly said the concerns we had were expressed in the
8	25	Junod letter. We haven't gone any further to 128
9	1	investigate them or process them because Dr. Ennix isn't
10	2	practicing here anymore. And so we left that to you,
11	3	where you could get a better handle on his practice,
12	4	because he's working in your campus exclusively now.
13	5	Q. And did you do anything after that to
14	6	investigate the credibility or reliability of the Junod
15	7	report in terms of its analysis of cardiac surgery at
16	8	the Alta Bates campus?
17	9	A. We asked I again asked Dr. Kunitz for any
18	10	written documentation. And my recollection is the only
19	11	document that was provided was a summary from a peer
20	12	review committee meeting at Ashby that I think Dr. Enni:
21	13	attended, where some concerns had been raised. And I
22	14	think this may have been the meeting that then prompted
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$	15	the Junod report to be requested.
	16	That was the only written document that we
24	17	ever obtained. We did not pull the primary records,
25	18	have them reviewed.
26	19	Q. Did you do anything else?
27	20	A. No.

1 2 3 4 5	MAUREEN E. MCCLAIN (State Bar No. 06205 Email: mcclain@kmm.com ALEX HERNAEZ (State Bar No. 201441) Email: hernaez@kmm.com KAUFF MCCLAIN & MCGUIRE LLP One Post Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 421-3111 Facsimile: (415) 421-0938	50)			
6 7 8 9 10	TAZAMISHA H. IMARA (State Bar No. 201266) Email: imara@kmm.com KAUFF MCCLAIN & MCGUIRE LLP 2049 Century Park East Suite 2690 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-7550 Facsimile: (310) 277-7525				
11	Attorneys for Defendant ALTA BATES SUMMIT MEDICAL CENTER				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT				
14	NONTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA			
15	COYNESS L. ENNIX, JR., M.D.,	CASE NO. C 07-2486 WHA			
16	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO			
17	i iamum,	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 4 TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY			
18	V.	OF FOREST JUNOD, M.D.			
19	ALTA BATES SUMMIT MEDICAL CENTER,	DATE: May 19, 2008 TIME: 2:00 p.m.			
20	Defendant.	DEPT: Ctrm. 9, 19th Floor JUDGE: Hon. William H. Alsup			
21	Dolondant.				
22		COMPLAINT FILED: May 9, 2007 TRIAL DATE: June 2, 2008			
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

KAUFF MCCLAIN &
MCGUIRE LLP
ONE POST STREET
SUITE 2600
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

ΓELEPHONE (415) 421-3111

1	In making its decision to institute Plaintiff's peer review, Defendant relied		
2	upon, inter alia, a report prepared by Dr. Forrest Junod. Plaintiff asks that the Court		
3	exclude the testimony of Dr. Junod with the exception of specific testimony confirming		
4	the conclusions contained in his report. However, the relevance of Dr. Junod's		
5	testimony is not so narrow. For example, Dr. Junod should be allowed to testify		
6	regarding the factual circumstances underlying the transmission of the report to Dr.		
7	Isenberg. Also relevant is the connection between the Alta Bates Medical Staff and the		
8	Summit Medical Staff, which had a contractual obligation to cross-share relevant peer		
9	review information. All of this information is directly relevant because it was considered		
10	by the relevant decision-makers. Moreover, Dr. Junod's testimony is required to rebut		
11	Plaintiff's repeated claims that he "championed the cause of consolidating cardiac		
12	surgeries" between the two campuses. This claim, made repeatedly and under oath by		
13	Plaintiff, is simply not true. Rather, Plaintiff was under peer review scrutiny by the Alta		
14	Bates Medical Staff prior to coming under scrutiny by the Summit Medical Staff.		
15	Accordingly, the Court should deny Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 4.		
16	DATED: May 9, 2008 KAUFF MCCLAIN & MCGUIRE LLP		
17	— ——·		
18	By:		
19	ALEX HERNAEZ		
20	Attorneys for Defendant ALTA BATES SUMMIT MEDICAL		
21	CENTER		
22			
23			
24			

28

24

25

26

27

-2-

TELEPHONE (415) 421-3111